



Conference Abstract

Phylogenetics Study of *Salvia* L. spp. Collections from the Botanical Garden of Medicinal Plants of Wroclaw Medical University

Bartosz Pencakowski[‡], Monika Bielecka[‡], Marta Stafiniak[‡], Klemens Jakubowski[§], Adam Matkowski^I

- ‡ Department of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Wrocław Medical University, Wrocław, Poland
- § Botanical Garden of Medicinal Plants, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland
- | Department of Biology and Pharmaceutical Botany, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland

Corresponding author: Bartosz Pencakowski (bartosz.pencakowski@umed.wroc.pl)

Received: 22 May 2019 | Published: 18 Jun 2019

Citation: Pencakowski B, Bielecka M, Stafiniak M, Jakubowski K, Matkowski A (2019) Phylogenetics Study of *Salvia* L. spp. Collections from the Botanical Garden of Medicinal Plants of Wroclaw Medical University. Biodiversity

Information Science and Standards 3: e36424. https://doi.org/10.3897/biss.3.36424

Abstract

Systematics of genus *Salvia* L. is still a field of discussion in taxonomic society. To this day, the position of certain genera from *Lamiaceae* Martinov. family are considered as autonomic genera or incorporated in the genus *Salvia* L. as a subgenera (i.e., *Perovskia* Kar., *Rosmarinus* L.). Moreover, some species are distinguished only by low-level differences in morphological traits and their geographic occurrence (Drew et al. 2017).

In this research, we focused on a molecular analysis of morphologically similar *Salvia* L. species with special attention paid to *Salvia glutinosa* L. and *Salvia nubicola* Wall. ex Sweet. All samples were collected from the Botanical Garden of Medicinal Plants of Wroclaw Medical University (http://www.obrl.umed.wroc.pl/index.html) and the Herbarium of Natural History Museum, Wroclaw University (http://www.muzeum-przyrodnicze.uni.wroc.pl/index.php).

Several DNA barcodes, including *mat*K, *rbc*La, ITS2 genes, and *psbA-trn*H intergenic spacer, were used in maximal likelihood and Bayesian inference analyses. All sequences were amplified with Q5 High Fidelity DNA Polymerase (https://www.neb.com/) and

universal primers. Amplicons were then sequenced by Sanger sequencing and analysed using the BLAST algorithm (Altschul et al. 1990). Subsequently, sequences were aligned using MAFFT v 7.409 (Katoh et al. 2005) software; poorly aligned sites were objectively eliminated with Gblocks v.0.91b (Talavera and Castresana 2007). ITS2 regions were extracted with ITSx (Bengtsson-Palme et al. 2013) software implemented on PlutoF web workbench (Abarenkov et al. 2010) to obtain ITS2 sequences without 5.8S and 26S fragments on both ends. Substitution models were identified utilizing jModelTest 2 software (Posada 2008) and basing on Bayesian information criterion (BIC) appropriate models were applied for further calculations. For maximum likelihood analyses and Bayesian inference, we implemented RAxML ver. 8.2.10 (Stamatakis et al. 2008) and MrBayes ver. 3.2.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) respectively.

For the reconstruction of evolutionary relationship between study species, different DNA barcode combinations were applied (e.g., *mat*K+ITS2+*psb*A-*trn*H and *rbc*La+*mat*K+ *psb*A-*trn*H). Consensus trees were compared and analysed using TreeGraph ver. 2.14 (Stöver and Müller 2010).

Keywords

DNA barcoding, Salvia, phylogenetics

Presenting author

Bartosz Pencakowski

Presented at

Biodiversity Next 2019

References

- Abarenkov K, Tedersoo L, Nilsson RH, Vellak K, Saar I (2010) PlutoF a web based workbench for ecological and taxonomic research, with an online Implementation for fungal ITS sequences. Evolutionary Bioinformatics 6: 189-196.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215: 403-410. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836
 (05)80360-2
- Bengtsson-Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M, Branco S, Wang Z (2013) Improved software detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental sequencing data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4: 914-919.

- Drew B, González-Gallegos JG, Xiang C, Kriebel R, Drummond C, Walker J, Sytsma K
 (2017) Salvia united: The greatest good for the greatest number. Taxon 66 (1): 133-145.
 https://doi.org/10.12705/661.7
- Katoh K, Kuma K-, Toh H, Miyata T (2005) MAFFT version 5: improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. Nucleic Acids Research 33: 511-518. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki198
- Posada D (2008) jModelTest: Phylogenetic Model Averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25: 1253-1256. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn083
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space.
 Systematic Biology 61: 539-542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J (2008) A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web servers. Systematic Biology 57: 758-771. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150802429642
- Stöver BC, Müller KF (2010) TreeGraph 2: Combining and visualizing evidence from different phylogenetic analyses. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-7
- Talavera G, Castresana J (2007) Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Systematic Biology 56: 564-577. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150701472164